DEX-0075 Macina and Sun

Inventors: Serial No.:

09/618,596 Filing Date: July 17, 2000

Page 6

REMARKS

Claims 1-5 are pending in the instant application. Claims 1-5 have been rejected. Claims 1-5 have been amended. No new matter has been added by these amendments to the claims. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in light of these amendments and the following remarks.

Rejection of Claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

Claims 1-5 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, the Examiner suggests that claims 1-5 are vague and indefinite in the recitation of "complement" as the Examiner suggests that it is not clear whether or not the complement should include several nucleic acid base pairs, partial length or a fulllength complement.

In addition, the Examiner suggests that the recitation "hybridizing under stringent conditions" in claims 1-5 is vague and indefinite.

ocket No.: DEX-0075

Inventors:
Serial No.:

Macina and Sun 09/618,596 July 17, 2000

Filing Date: Page 7

Accordingly, in an earnest effort to advance the prosecution

of this case, Applicants have amended claims 1-5 to remove the term

"complement".

With respect to the suggested indefiniteness of the recitation

of "hybridizing under stringent conditions", however, Applicants

respectfully disagree with the Examiner.

In accordance with MPEP § 2173, the primary purpose of the

requirement of definiteness of claim language is to ensure that the

scope of the claimed is clear so that the public is informed of the

boundaries of what constitutes infringement of the patent.

Definiteness of claim language must be analyzed, not in a vacuum,

but in light of:

(A) The content of the particular application disclosure;

(B) The teachings of the prior art; and

(C) The claim interpretation that would be given by one

possessing the ordinary level of skill in that pertinent art at the

time the invention was made. Only a reasonable degree of

particularly and distinctness is required. MPEP § 2173.01.

Further, the MPEP and the case law are clear; when reviewing a

claim for compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, the

Examiner must consider the claim as a whole to determine whether

the claim apprises one of ordinary skill in the art of its scope

DEX-0075

Inventors:
Serial No.:

Macina and Sun 09/618,596

Filing Date:

July 17, 2000

Page 8

and, therefore, serves the notice function required by 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Claims of the instant application are drawn to methods for determining levels of a colon specific gene(CSG) comprising a polynucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 or a polynucleotide which hybridizes under stringent conditions with SEQ ID NO: 1, or a polypeptide encoded thereby, in cells, tissues or bodily fluids in a patient.

Methods for assessing whether a polynucleotide hybridizes under stringent conditions are well known to those of skill in the art and set forth in great detail in standard reference texts such as Sambrook et al. 1989 (Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual, 2nd Edition, Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor). Such methods can be performed routinely by those of skill in the art to assess whether or not a polynucleotide from a cell, tissue of bodily fluid of a patient hybridizes under stringent conditions to SEO ID NO:1 and thus falls within the scope of the claimed method.

Further, definitions from two online dictionaries which were available prior to the filing date of the instant application for the term hybridization stringency are also being provided herewith. Both definitions require that the percentage of matching nucleotides be 70% or greater for stringent hybridization to occur.

greater identity with SEQ ID NO:1.

DEX-0075

Inventors:

Macina and Sun

Serial No.: Filing Date:

09/618,596 July 17, 2000

Page 9

Prior art cited by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and (e) also requires 70% identity for hybridization and greater than 70% identity for stringent hybridization. See specifically, col. 6, lines 10-14, of U.S. Patent 5,733,748, and page 10 of WO 96/39419. Accordingly, one of skill in the art can also routinely assess whether or not a polynucleotide falls within the scope of the present invention by determining whether the sequence has 70% or

Thus, the claims, as amended are definite when read in light of the teachings of the prior art and what is well known by those of skill in the art. Further, the claims when read as a whole apprise one of ordinary skill in the art of their scope, thus meeting the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Withdrawal of this rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph is therefore respectfully requested.

II. Rejection of Claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and 102(b)

Claims 1-5 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,733,748. The Examiner suggests that this patent teaches a CSG comprising a polynucleotide sequence that would hybridize under stringent conditions with SEQ ID NO: 1 of the instant application.

Inventors:
Serial No.:

Filing Date:

Page 10

DEX-0075

Macina and Sun

09/618,596

July 17, 2000

Claims 1-5 have also been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 96/39419 as the Examiner suggests that this reference teaches a polynucleotide sequence with the sequence GCT, which would also hybridize under stringent conditions with SEQ ID NO:1.

Applicants respectfully disagree as these rejections are based upon the scientifically erroneous suggestion that a polynucleotide with one or two sections of only three continuous bases of complementarity would hybridize under stringent conditions to SEQ ID NO:1. The complementarity requirements for hybridization under stringent conditions are well known to those of skill in the art and far exceed the complementarity between the sequence taught in U.S. Patent 5,733,748 or WO 96/39419 and SEQ ID NO: 1 of the instant application.

In fact, both prior art references cited in this rejection teach that polynucleotides hybridize if there is at least 70%, preferably at least 90% and more preferably at least 95% identity between the sequences. See specifically, col. 6, lines 10-14, of U.S. Patent 5,733,748, and page 10 of WO 96/39419. Accordingly, the Examiner's suggestion that the sequence taught in these references will hybridize under stringent conditions to SEQ ID NO:1

Inventors:
Serial No.:

Filing Date: Page 11

DEX-0075

Macina and Sun 09/618,596

July 17, 2000

of the present invention actually contradicts with the teachings of these cited prior art references.

MPEP § 2111.01 is quite clear; the words of a claim must be given their plain meaning unless they are defined specification. Plain meaning, as set forth in MPEP § 2111.01 refers to the meaning given to the term by those of ordinary skill in the art. The meaning given by those of skill in the art to the term stringent hybridization with respect to polynucleotides is a sequence with at least 70% identity or greater. This accepted meaning is evidenced not only by the prior art references cited by the Examiner in this rejection but also by dictionary definitions of the term published prior to the filing date of the instant application. Copies of dictionary definitions for hybridization stringency from two different online sources are provided herewith. In both definitions it is stated that if the percentage of matching nucleotide is lower than 70%, the two single-stranded nucleic acid molecules are considered nonhomologous and any hybridization is considered non-stringent.

The sequence taught by U.S. Patent 5,733,748 and WO 96/39419 has less than 70% identity with SEQ ID NO:1 and thus, in accordance with the teachings of both U.S. Patent 5,733,748 and WO 96/39419 would not hybridize with SEQ ID NO:1. Accordingly, these

DEX-0075

Inventors:

Macina and Sun

Serial No.: Filing Date:

09/618,596 July 17, 2000

Page 12

references do not enable a polynucleotide which hybridizes under

stringent conditions with SEQ ID NO:1 and therefore cannot

anticipate a claim wherein the polynucleotide hybridizes under

stringent conditions to SEQ ID NO:1.

Withdrawal of these rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and §

102(b) is therefore respectfully requested.

III. Conclusion

Applicants believe that the foregoing comprises a full and

complete response to the Office Action of record. Accordingly,

favorable reconsideration and subsequent allowance of the pending

claims is earnestly solicited.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to

the specification and claims by the current amendment. The

attached page is captioned "Version with Markings to Show Changes

Made."

Respectfully submitted,

Kathleen A. Œ∕

Registration No. 38,350

Date: November 4, 2002

Licata & Tyrrell P.C. 66 E. Main Street

Marlton, New Jersey 08053

(856) 810-1515

DEX-0075

Inventors:

Macina and Sun

Serial No.: Filing Date:

09/618,596

Page 13

July 17, 2000

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

In the claims:

- (amended) A method for diagnosing the presence of colon cancer in a patient comprising:
- (a) determining levels of a colon specific gene(CSG) comprising a polynucleotide sequence or its complement capable of hybridizing of SEO ID NO:1 or a polynucleotide which hybridizes under stringent conditions with SEQ ID NO: 1, or a polypeptide encoded thereby, in cells, tissues or bodily fluids in a patient; and
- (b) comparing the determined levels of the CSG with levels of the CSG in cells, tissues or bodily fluids measured in a normal human control, wherein a change in determined levels of the CSG in said patient versus levels of the CSG measured in a normal human control is associated with the presence of colon cancer.
- 2. (amended) A method of diagnosing metastases of colon cancer in a patient comprising:
- (a) identifying a patient having colon cancer that is not known to have metastasized;

DEX-0075

Inventors:

Macina and Sun

Serial No.: Filing Date:

09/618,596 July 17, 2000

Page 14

(b) determining levels of a colon specific gene(CSG) comprising a polynucleotide sequence or its complement capable of

hybridizing of SEO ID NO:1 or a polynucleotide which hybridizes

under stringent conditions with SEQ ID NO: 1, or a polypeptide

encoded thereby, in cells, tissues or bodily fluids in a patient;

and

(c) comparing the levels of the CSG determined in step

(b) with levels of the CSG measured in a sample of cells, tissues

or bodily fluid from a normal human control, wherein an increase in

levels of the CSG determined in step (b) as compared to levels of

the CSG measured in a sample of cells, tissues or bodily fluid from

a normal human control is associated with a cancer that has

metastasized.

3. (amended) A method of staging colon cancer in a patient

having colon cancer comprising:

(a) identifying a patient having colon cancer;

(b) determining levels of a colon specific gene (CSG)

comprising a polynucleotide sequence or its complement capable of

hybridizing of SEO ID NO:1 or a polynucleotide which hybridizes

under stringent conditions with SEQ ID NO: 1, or a polypeptide

encoded thereby, in cells, tissues or bodily fluids in a patient;

and

Inventors:
Serial No.:

Filing Date:

DEX-0075

Macina and Sun

09/618,596

July 17, 2000

Page 15

(c) comparing the levels of the CSG determined in step (b) with levels of the CSG measured in a sample of cells, tissues or bodily fluid from a normal human control, wherein an increase in the levels of the CSG determined in step (b) as compared to levels of the CSG measured in a sample of cells, tissues or bodily fluid from a normal human control is associated with a cancer that is progressing and a decrease in the levels of the CSG determined in step (b) as compared to levels of the CSG measured in a sample of cells, tissues or bodily fluid from a normal human control is

4. (amended) A method of monitoring colon cancer in a patient for the onset of metastasis comprising:

associated with a cancer that is regressing or in remission.

- (a) identifying a patient having colon cancer that is not known to have metastasized;
- (b) periodically determining levels of a colon specific gene (CSG) comprising a polynucleotide sequence or its complement capable of hybridizing of SEO ID NO:1 or a polynucleotide which hybridizes under stringent conditions with SEQ ID NO: 1, or a polypeptide encoded thereby, in cells, tissues or bodily fluids in a patient; and
- (c) comparing the periodically determined levels of the CSG with levels of the CSG measured in cells, tissues or bodily

DEX-0075 Macina and Sun

Inventors: Serial No.: Filing Date:

09/618,596 July 17, 2000

Page 16

fluid of a normal human control, wherein an increase in any one of the periodically determined levels of the CSG in the patient versus the normal human control is associated with a cancer that has metastasized.

- 5. (amended) A method of monitoring a change in stage of colon cancer in a patient comprising:
 - (a) identifying a patient having colon cancer;
- (b) periodically determining levels of a colon specific gene(CSG) comprising a polynucleotide sequence or its complement capable of hybridizing of SEO ID NO:1 or a polynucleotide which hybridizes under stringent conditions with SEQ ID NO: 1, or a polypeptide encoded thereby, in cells, tissues or bodily fluids in a patient; and
- (c) comparing the periodically determined levels of the CSG with levels of the CSG measured in cells, tissues, or bodily fluid of a normal human control, wherein an increase in any one of the periodically determined levels of the CSG in the patient versus the normal human control is associated with a cancer that is progressing in stage and a decrease is associated with a cancer that is regressing in stage or in remission.





hybridisation stringency

<molecular biology> The percentage of <u>nucleotides</u> which must <u>match</u> on two unrelated <u>single</u>-stranded <u>nucleic acid molecules</u> before they will <u>base pair</u> with each other to form a <u>duplex</u>, <u>given</u> a certain <u>set</u> of physical and chemical conditions.

The hybridisation stringency is used to determine when a hybridisation probe and a target nucleic acid will come together, and can be <u>set</u> by the researcher by <u>varying</u> the <u>conditions</u>. In <u>general</u>, if the percentage of <u>matching nucleotides</u> is lower than 70 percent, the two <u>single</u>-stranded nucleic <u>acid molecules</u> are considered nonhomologous and any hybridisation is considered nonstringent.

(13 Oct 1997)

Previous: hybrid DNA, hybrid dysgenesis, hybrid enzyme, hybrid inviability, hybridisation

Next: hybridism, hybridization, hybrid molecule, hybrid name, hybridoma

Published at the Dept. of Medical Oncology, <u>University of Newcastle upon Tyne</u>
© Copyright 1997-2002 - The CancerWEB Project. All Rights Reserved.



Search Terms:

AND
Search Definitions:

Contains this Begins with this

Find Words

Find Words

Clear Form

AND

Find Words

Searching Category	User input query
Searched Word	hybridization
Number of Results	14

1. 1. colony hybridization

Definition:

A genetics lab technique used to identify which colonies of bacteria on an agar plate contain a particular sequence of <u>DNA</u> or a particular gene. The technique involves pressing a nylon or nitrocellulose membrane onto the plate so that each colony contributes a small smudge of itself to the membrane, then treating the membrane with chemicals and heat, then washing the membrane with a labeled probe to find the specific DNA sequence. The smudges which are indicated by the <u>probe</u> are then compared back to the colonies on the agar plate. This technique is often used in conjunction with experiments involving the making of genomic libraries.

2. competition hybridization

Definition:

A lab technique used to determine how similar two strands of single-stranded <u>nucleic</u> <u>acids</u> are to each other by putting them with a third strand (called a standard) and observing how well they can bond with each other to become double-stranded (how well they <u>hybridize</u>).

3. cross-hybridization (cross hybridization)

Author: Susan A. Hagedorn

Definition:

The <u>hydrogen bonding</u> of a single-stranded <u>DNA</u> sequence that is partially but not entirely <u>complementary</u> to a single-stranded <u>substrate</u>. Often, this involves <u>hybridizing</u> a DNA <u>probe</u> for a specific DNA <u>sequence</u> to the <u>homologous</u> sequences of different <u>species</u>.

4. DNA hybridization

Definition:

A lab technique used to find out how closely related two or more separate strands of <u>DNA</u> from different <u>species</u> are to each other. The technique involves radioactive labeling.

5. DNA-RNA hybridization



Definition:

A type of <u>hybridization</u>. In this case, a strand of <u>DNA</u> is joined with a <u>complementary</u> strand of <u>RNA</u> to form a double-stranded molecule (or one which is partly double-stranded, if one of the original single strands is shorter than the other).

6. FISH (fluorescence in situ hybridization)

Definition:

A <u>physical mapping</u> approach that uses <u>fluorescent</u> tags to detect <u>hybridization</u> of <u>probes</u> with <u>metaphase chromosomes</u> and with the less-condensed <u>somatic interphase</u> chromatin.

7. hybridization

Definition:

- 1. The process of joining two <u>complementary</u> strands of <u>DNA</u> or one each of DNA and <u>RNA</u> to form a double- stranded molecule:
- 2. The mating of individuals from different species or sub-species.

8. hybridization stringency

Definition:

The percentage of <u>nucleotides</u> which must match on two unrelated single-stranded <u>nucleic acid</u> molecules before they will <u>base pair</u> with each other to form a <u>duplex</u>, given a certain set of physical and chemical conditions. The hybridization stringency is used to determine when a <u>hybridization probe</u> and a target nucleic acid will come together, and can be set by the researcher by varying the conditions. In general, if the percentage of matching nucleotides is lower than 70 percent, the two single-stranded nucleic acid molecules are considered <u>nonhomologous</u> and any <u>hybridization</u> is considered nonstringent.

9. in situ hybridization

Definition:

Use of a <u>DNA</u> or <u>RNA</u> probe to detect the presence of the <u>complementary DNA</u> <u>sequence</u> in cloned <u>bacterial</u> or cultured <u>eukaryotic cells</u>.

Also used for locating genes on chromosomes. The process is:

- 1. Prepare microscope slide with cells in metaphase of mitosis.
- 2. Treat slide with a weak base. Thus denaturing the DNA.
- 3. Pour radioactively labeled <u>probe</u> onto the slide.
- 4. Expose slide to photographic emulsion for a few days or weeks.
- 5. Develop emulsion.

10. introgressive hybridization

Definition:

The incorporation into a <u>population</u>'s <u>gene pool</u> of <u>genes</u> from a different <u>species</u>.

11. Northern blot (Northern hybridization, Northern blotting) Definition:

A technique similar to <u>Southern blotting</u>, though it is used for <u>RNA</u>. In this technique, RNA fragments are transferred from an <u>agarose</u> gel to a nitrocellulose filter, where the RNA is then <u>hybridized</u> to a radioactive <u>probe</u>.



12. probe (hybridization probe)

Definition:

A single-stranded <u>nucleic acid</u> molecule with a known <u>nucleotide</u> sequence which is <u>labeled</u> in some way (for example, <u>radioactively</u>, <u>fluorescently</u>, or immunologically) and used to find and mark certain <u>DNA</u> or <u>RNA</u> sequences of interest to a researcher by <u>hybridizing</u> to it.

13. Southern blot (Southern hybridization, Southern blotting) Definition:

A technique used for searching for a specific <u>DNA</u> fragment. The process is as follows:

- 1. Separate DNA fragments by gel electrophoresis
- 2. change <u>pH</u> of gel to <u>basic</u>, thus allowing disruption of H-bonds
- 3. blot gel with nitrocellulose paper
- 4. heat paper so as to fix DNA fragments
- 5. probe with labeled messenger RNA or cDNA
- 6. wash
- 7. complementary mRNA/cDNA fragments will have <u>hybridized</u>.

14. Western blot (Western hybridization, Western blotting) Definition:

A technique similar to Southern blotting, though it is used for proteins.

END

All entries Copyright 1995-98 by their respective authors or, if no author is listed, by BioTech Resources and Indiana University